

Vol. 4 #2

January, 1988

THE THIRD DECADE

A JOURNAL OF RESEARCH ON THE
JOHN F. KENNEDY ASSASSINATION

1963

1973

1983

1993

ISSN 0888-5230

CONTENTS

DEAD SUSPECTS PART V by Scott Van Wynsberghe	1
BELIN'S BLOOPER by G.J. Rowell	7
HOSTY'S REVELATION by Ross F. Ralston	11
OSWALD AT KEESLER by Emory L. Brown, Jr.	12
MARTIN SCHRAND by Jerry D. Rose	15
LT. CMDR. WILLIAM BRUCE PITZER by Harrison Edward Livingstone	20

THE THIRD DECADE

crowd (Benister, Ferrie, Marcello, etc.) to Milteer by way of Kent Courtney's circle. In the same vein, the House Select Committee seems to have failed to pursue the KKK angle. Life magazine, in its 8 September 1967 article, "The Mob, Part 2," pointed out that Carlos Marcello was using "muscle provided by the Ku Klux Klan" for his operations in east-central Louisiana as of that writing. Similarly, former RFK Justice Department staffer Walter Sheridan, in The Fall and Rise of Jimmy Hoffa (New York: Saturday Review Press, 1972), p. 193, alleges that Teamsters chief Jimmy Hoffa contacted KKK figures during November, 1961 "in connection with strategy" against Justice Department scrutiny of Hoffa's dealings with an unsavory development scheme in Florida. Even more tantalizing, Hoffa was also facing legal action in Tennessee at the same time, in connection with the funneling of payoffs to him from one trucking firm through a second, which was based in Nashville. A grand jury began a probe in December of 1961, Hoffa was indicted in May, 1962, and he went on trial in Nashville in October, 1962. A mistrial was declared, on account of jury tampering, in December, 1962, and Hoffa ended up by being convicted for that tampering---in Chattanooga---in March, 1964 (Sheridan, pp. 196, 206, 221, 253-255, 309, 353-354). Therefore, the KKK scenario shapes up like this: (1) Hoffa worked with KKK elements over his legal problems in Florida in 1961; (2) Marcello, who backed Hoffa and has been linked with such dead suspects as Jack Ruby, David Ferrie and Guy Banister, also worked with KKK elements in the 1960s; (3) Milteer was linked to the KKK, and his November 9, 1963 conversation in Florida with Somerset touched on Jack Brown, of the Chattanooga-based Dixie Klan; (4) Hoffa was experiencing severe legal difficulties in Tennessee throughout the period 1962-64, and would ultimately be convicted in Chattanooga.

35. HSCA I, p. 116; HSCA Report, p. 91; HSCA VI, pp.242-257.

36. HSCA Report, pp. 402-404.

37. Model and Groden, JFK: The Case for Conspiracy, p. 301.

38. J. Harry Jones, Jr., A Private Army, rev. ed. (New York: Collier, 1969), pp. 92-93.

39. "Mysterious deaths mount to 25," National Enquirer, 22 November 1983; HSCA I, p. 121; Model and Groden, JFK: The Case for Conspiracy, p. 303.

BELIN'S BLOOPER

by

G.J. Rowell*

On the day after the brutal murder of President John F. Kennedy in the streets of Dallas, J. Edgar Hoover, the crusty old Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, addressed a letter to James J. Rowley, the Chief of the United States Secret Service.¹ Enclosed with the letter was a five-page document detailing the results of the first FBI inquiries into the assassination. It wasn't until years later that this document would see the light of day.

That day would not come in November of 1977, however, when the FBI released 40,001 pages of previously classified documents on the Kennedy assassination, including a sanitized version of the November 23, 1963 five-page report. The FBI had blanked out the bottom of page four and the top of page five. Why? What could be so important that it had to be withheld from the American public fourteen years after the assassination?

THE THIRD DECADE

The blanks both puzzled and perplexed the dedicated assassination researchers until finally Mark Lane, author, researcher and critic of the Warren Commission, was able to secure a court order from the Federal District Court in Washington that pried loose the Secret Service files on the JFK assassination. In those files resided an uncensored version of the November 23, 1963 FBI report. The relevant portion of that document that was missing from the sanitized version reads as follows:

"The Central Intelligence Agency advised that on October 1, 1963, an extremely sensitive source had reported that an individual identified himself as Lee Oswald, who contacted the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City inquiring as to any messages. Special Agents of this Bureau, who have conversed with Oswald in Dallas, Texas, have observed photographs and have listened to a recording of his voice. These Special Agents are of the opinion that the above-referred-to individual was not Lee Harvey Oswald."

Come again?---"the above-referred-to individual was not Lee Harvey Oswald." Well, if it wasn't the Lee Harvey Oswald that was murdered in the basement of the city jail by Jack Ruby, then who was it? This question required some very serious investigation. Unfortunately, this question pointed towards a conspiracy and it was quickly brushed under the rug by the FBI. The question would have to be resolved at a later date by the House Select Committee on Assassinations.

Was the HSCA able to resolve the controversy that had arisen? You will have to judge that for yourself. When The Final Assassinations Report of the HSCA was released in 1979 it had this to say about its investigation into the Mexico City tape matter:

"A review of relevant FBI cable traffic established that at 7:23 P.M. (CST) on November 23, 1963, Dallas Special Agent-in-Charge Shanklin advised Director Hoover that only a report of this conversation was available, not an actual tape recording. On November 25, the Dallas office again apprised the Director that "[t]here appears to be some confusion in that no tapes were taken to Dallas*** [O]nly typewritten [reports were] supplied***"

Shanklin stated in a committee interview that no recording was ever received by FBI officials in Dallas. Moreover, former FBI Special Agents James Hosty, John W. Fain, Burnett Tom Carter and Arnold J. Brown, each of whom had conversed with Oswald at one time, informed the committee that they had never listened to a recording of Oswald's voice.³ (But did they listen to another voice that was not Oswald's?) The committee did not contact the three other FBI special agents who also conversed with Oswald at one time.

"Finally, on the basis of an extensive file review and detailed testimony by present and former CIA officials and employees, the committee determined that CIA headquarters never received a recording of Oswald's voice. (Author's note; again, it may be a matter of semantics---did they receive a tape that was not Oswald's voice?). The committee concluded, therefore, that the information in the November 23, 1963, letterhead memorandum was mistaken and did not provide a basis for concluding that there had been an Oswald imposter."⁴

Well, so much for the HSCA investigation. It is hardly a comforting thought that the HSCA relied heavily on the truthfulness of Dallas Special Agent-in-Charge J. Gordon Shanklin and Special Agent James P. Hosty, Jr., in as much as both were involved in the destruction of evidence in the JFK assassination; i.e., a note

THE THIRD DECADE

addressed to Hosty which Oswald allegedly dropped off at the FBI office in Dallas. Hosty claimed he tore up and disposed of the note on the direct orders of Shanklin. SAC Shanklin claims he gave no such order. Obviously, both of the Agents cannot be telling the truth about the destruction of the note---yet the HSCA found them both to be telling the truth about the Mexico City tape.

This is where the Mexico City tape situation stood until the night of November 11, 1983. On that particular night the ABC television news program Nightline was scheduled to do a feature on the assassination of President Kennedy. I had to go out and do some investigating on a case for my detective agency, so I taped the show for viewing at a later time. When I was finally able to find the time to watch the program, I found I was in for somewhat of a surprise. In fact, I wasn't sure that I had heard the tape correctly the first time, so I rewound the tape and asked my wife Darlene to watch the tape with me and verify the fact that I had heard it correctly. I still couldn't believe my ears, so I immediately sent for a transcript of the Nightline program. The following is based on excerpts from that transcript.

This particular program, which was entitled "JFK Assassination: Conspiracy Theories," featured anchorman Ted Koppel and guests: attorney Mark Lane, Representative Louis Stokes, journalist Ron Rosenbaum, and former Warren Commission attorney David Belin. (For the record, it should be noted that Mr. Koppel showed his pro-Warren Commission bias throughout the entire program.)

KOPPEL: Continuing our discussion now with attorney Mark Lane. Mr. Lane, forgive me. Help us not to get trapped in the arcane minutiae of this because we don't have the time to handle it. You told me you don't believe that he [Lee Harvey Oswald] did it alone...

LANE: I don't believe he did it at all.

KOPPEL: You don't believe he did it at all. All right.

LANE: No. I don't think he was involved in the shooting.

KOPPEL: Then at least help us out. You've spent 20 years of your life, I assume, trying to find out who did.

LANE: Well, lately I've been doing other things, but I have looked into it quite thoroughly, that's true. If you want my view as to who I think was involved, I'll tell you. And it's based upon some hard evidence but it can't be conclusive. I believe that---with Jim Garrison, the district attorney of New Orleans, that elements within the Central Intelligence Agency executed President John F. Kennedy. I believe that because in October 1963 the CIA left behind a trail in Mexico City claiming that a man named Lee Harvey Oswald went to the Soviet Embassy, checked in with a man called Kostikov, who the CIA told Earl Warren was a KGB official for the Soviet Union in charge of terror and assassination in the Western hemisphere. Oswald called the embassy; the CIA taped the telephone call, and Oswald said "This is Lee Oswald, are there any messages for me?" Oswald then went to the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City and was arranging for a transit visa, ostensibly to Cuba after he killed the President. The only problem with the whole story is that it was not Lee Harvey Oswald. It was a charade designed by the Central Intelligence Agency in October 1963 to leave behind a series of acts, a trail which would lead to Lee Harvey Oswald after the assassination. That...

KOPPEL: All right, let's...

LANE: Let me say just one thing. That meant, of course---if I could just conclude it---that meant that in October of 1963 the Central Intelligence Agency was planning to place the blame on Oswald for an assassination which had not [been] committed but which obviously people within the CIA knew

THE THIRD DECADE

was going to take place the next month.

KOPPEL: All right, David Belin, let's pick up on that one point. We do know now things about the CIA that we didn't know back then. And yet one of your commission members was, as Peter Lance pointed out, Allen Dulles, former director of the CIA. He might have shared some of that, don't you think?

BELIN: First of all, the Warren Commission did know that Oswald was in Mexico. The Warren Commission had access to the tape.

KOPPEL: No, no, no, that's not what I'm talking about. I mean, don't you think he might have shared with the commission his knowledge that the CIA had been involved in assassination attempts against Castro?

What's this?? As soon as David Belin admitted that the Warren Commission had access to the tapes, Ted Koppel changed the subject! Did Koppel know the significance of Belin's blunder? Was Koppel clued in via his earphone? One can only guess. However, the one person who should have known the significance of Belin's admission and should have pounced upon it like a thousand pound cat was Mark Lane---for Lane was the one who had uncovered the information about the Mexico City tape in the first place. Strangely, he did not pick up on it. Perhaps it was lost in the heat of the moment.

Subsequently, I wrote a letter of inquiry and sent a copy of the relevant portion of the Nightline broadcast to Mr. Belin at his law offices in Des Moines:

2-25-86

Dear Mr. Belin,

I appreciate the fact that you have taken the time over the years to be the spokesman for the Warren Commission.

If I may, I'd like to ask you a question about something that came up on the November 11, 1983 Nightline television show. Mr. Lane asserted that a Lee Harvey Oswald imposter phoned the Soviet Embassy in Mexico and that the CIA taped the call.

You replied to Mr. Lane: "First of all, the Warren Commission did know that Oswald was in Mexico. The Warren Commission had access to the tape." (See enclosed copy of 11-11-83 Nightline transcript).

In your opinion, did the voice on that tape sound like the voice of the Lee Harvey Oswald that was slain by Jack Ruby?

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards,

G.J. Rowell

After a wait of approximately seven months, I received the following reply:

September 29, 1986

Dr. Mr. Rowell,

I am replying to your correspondence concerning the assassination of President Kennedy. Because of the large number of inquiries I receive on this matter, I do not have sufficient time to respond in detail to each. However, if you want to understand my views, I would suggest you go to the library and read a copy of my book, November 22, 1963: You Are the Jury. Also, I have written a number of articles on the subject, including those in the July 15, 1979 issue of the New York Times Magazine, and in the April 27, 1979 and September 28, 1979, issues of National Review.

THE THIRD DECADE

There is also an earlier article in the February 6, 1976 issue of National Review.

Sincerely yours,

David W. Belin

I sincerely thought that Mr. Belin would want to take a few moments and clear the air on a matter that would appear to have a great impact on the entire government case against Lee Harvey Oswald. However, true to form, Mr. Belin chose to give me a weasal answer instead.

In light of Belin's startling admission on the Nightline show---and his refusal to answer any questions about it---the issue of the missing Mexico City tape could take on an even more sinister implication.

*1529 Elizabeth Bay City, Michigan 48708

Footnotes

1. Select Committee on Assassinations, U.S. House of Representatives, Report (Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979), pp. 249, 250; this source cited hereafter in format: HSCA Report 249, 250.
2. HSCA Report 250.
3. HSCA Report 250.
4. HSCA Report 250.
5. HSCA Report, pp. 195, 196

HOSTY'S REVELATION

bu

Ross F. Ralston*

In 1980, in an interview with Dallas Morning News reporter Earl Golz, Special Agent James P. Hosty of the FBI let off a self-described "bombshell" of information that Hosty had kept un-detonated during his deposition in 1978 to the House Select Committee on Assassinations. This "information" was that the FBI had in its pre-investigation files on Lee Harvey Oswald the information that he had visited at the Soviet embassy in Mexico City in September 1963 with Valeriy Kostikov, a KGB agent responsible for terrorism and assassinations in the Western hemisphere. Hosty claimed that this information was kept from himself as he was being briefed for his Warren Commission appearance and never came to the attention of the Commission, as J. Edgar Hoover was apparently determined that no doubts about his "lone assassin" scenario would be allowed to surface. Had Hosty's bombshell been allowed to explode earlier, presumably both the Warren Commission and the House Select Committee on Assassinations would have entertained more serious suspicions that the KGB was behind the assassination.

Aside from the fact that there is serious question as to whether the real Oswald even visited the Russian or Cuban embassies in Mexico City, I published a CIA document thirteen years ago in my book that shows "Oswald" (or the man at the embassy) may never even have talked to Kostikov. Commission document 1216 is a memorandum from the CIA to Warren Commission General Counsel J. Lee Rankin which states "(deleted) has checked its records for the period Oswald was in Mexico City and has advised it is quite possible that Oswald thought he had talked with Valeriy Kostikov when he actually had spoken to Yatskov." This document was